


Q1 Do you feel the webinar engaged you?

Answered: 89 Skipped: 1




Answer Choices	Responses
Yes	95.51% 85
No	4.49% 4
Total	89

#	Comments	Date
1	I just printed out the material that Mr. Aaron read from to review later. It is not engaging to see someone reading from a computer! The question and answer period was better.	8/12/2015 5:09 PM
2	No comment	8/12/2015 4:29 PM
3	Lots of real life cases dealing with the subject matter.	8/12/2015 4:04 PM
4	great topic and great presenter!	8/12/2015 3:37 PM
5	Very good webinar, more of these.	8/12/2015 2:56 PM
6	One of the best webinars yet, if not the best.	8/12/2015 2:56 PM
7	Good speaker, great content	8/12/2015 2:52 PM
8	very enlightening, and a bit disturbing	8/12/2015 2:43 PM
9	Very useful and informative	8/12/2015 2:32 PM
10	Very significant/relevant topic for professional land surveyors	8/12/2015 2:28 PM
11	Unfortunately the sound quality was too poor for me to be able to follow the session. (Likely due to local bandwidth issues.)	8/12/2015 2:26 PM
12	Excellent presentation	8/12/2015 2:21 PM
13	There are some "tricks" common to webinar's and presentations in general. To the layperson, this was fine however if you wish to present more, I would recommend reading slide ology http://www.duarte.com/book/slideology/	8/12/2015 2:11 PM

Q2 Please rate the CONTENT of the presentation:

Answered: 88 Skipped: 2




Answer Choices	Responses	Count
Excellent	59.09%	52
Very good	32.95%	29
Good	3.41%	3
Fair	4.55%	4
Poor	0.00%	0
Total		88

#	Comments	Date
1	One error: he said during questions that the Land Titles Act guarantees boundaries, but it doesn't.	8/13/2015 7:24 PM
2	No comment	8/12/2015 4:29 PM
3	Very informative with great questions/discussion.	8/12/2015 4:08 PM
4	Was very articulate and clear in explaining title problems. Of course he is a lawyer.	8/12/2015 3:19 PM
5	Content was on point and very interesting	8/12/2015 3:08 PM
6	Lots of real life examples and case law cited. I look forward to reviewing 49 page handout.	8/12/2015 2:56 PM
7	I'm always a big Bob Aaron fan, bring him back anytime	8/12/2015 2:52 PM
8	Very good, although not many new points/information I didn't already know from reading Bob's articles.	8/12/2015 2:14 PM
9	It would have been interesting to have led off with the other perspective and why Realtors will not advise their clients to obtain a survey.	8/12/2015 2:08 PM
10	Lots of dry examples of the importance of needing a survey, Surveyors already knew that	8/12/2015 2:07 PM

Q3 Please rate the PRESENTATION QUALITY (presenter):

Answered: 89 Skipped: 1




Answer Choices	Responses	Count
Excellent	58.43%	52
Very good	28.09%	25
Good	8.99%	8
Fair	3.37%	3
Poor	1.12%	1
Total		89

#	Comments	Date
1	I admit it's hard to be engaging while looking into a computer but good speakers know their material and can look at the screen as if they are connecting one-on-one with an audience.	8/12/2015 5:09 PM
2	No comment	8/12/2015 4:29 PM
3	Very experienced and comfortable with the presentation.	8/12/2015 4:04 PM
4	Possibly include some PP slides, but he was able to get his points across well without them.	8/12/2015 3:19 PM
5	Voice and video did not sync for most of the presentation	8/12/2015 3:08 PM
6	Outstanding.	8/12/2015 2:56 PM
7	A webinar setting is probably not the best due to technical difficulties	8/12/2015 2:52 PM
8	See below, the sound quality was so poor I couldn't make out most of the verbal part of the presentation. He sounded like a good presenter but I couldn't hear enough to determine.	8/12/2015 2:48 PM
9	Clear, concise and a plethora of first hand knowledge by the presenter	8/12/2015 2:28 PM
10	Bob was reading his content, which reduced the impact it had, I know that there was a lot to remember, but speaking to the camera would have improved it.	8/12/2015 2:20 PM

11	Fairly dry	8/12/2015 2:07 PM
----	------------	-------------------

Q4 Did the presentation give you information you were not aware of?

Answered: 88 Skipped: 2




Answer Choices	Responses
Yes	78.41% 69
No	21.59% 19
Total	88

#	Comments	Date
1	The case studies were interesting but the talk was mainly directly at other lawyers. I picked up one nugget of information and that's all I ever hope to get from a webinar like this so I'm glad I participated.	8/12/2015 5:09 PM
2	No comment	8/12/2015 4:29 PM
3	the cases mentioned were not local to our area ---I was certainly aware of the concept and overall theme	8/12/2015 4:20 PM
4	Although I am familiar with the subject, it provided a wider range of information with actual examples.	8/12/2015 4:08 PM
5	With respect to practices in other provinces.	8/12/2015 4:04 PM
6	Nothing new , but great examples were shared.	8/12/2015 3:37 PM
7	the law cases and papers	8/12/2015 3:24 PM
8	Only because as land surveyors we live with title insurance on a frequent basis. It was interesting to hear it from a lawyers perspective.	8/12/2015 3:19 PM
9	Refreshed some and introduced cases I was not aware of	8/12/2015 3:08 PM
10	Lots of reference to Canadian case law- will read handout for more details.	8/12/2015 2:56 PM
11	Many specific court cases that illustrate the main thesis	8/12/2015 2:38 PM
12	Well aware of topic but was great to get examples of issues	8/12/2015 2:28 PM
13	I was aware of most of the information provided but reminders are always useful.	8/12/2015 2:26 PM
14	REALLY appreciated the handout. The examples of cases were nice to have for review and future reference.	8/12/2015 2:11 PM
15	it helped reinforce the comments and stance that our organization takes in regards to RPR's	8/12/2015 2:07 PM
16	Surveyors know surveys reveal problems in boundaries, this is not new information. This would be more appropriate for lawyers or realtors.	8/12/2015 2:07 PM

Q5 Will you attend the next AOLS Webinar?

Answered: 83 Skipped: 7




Answer Choices	Responses
Yes	97.59% 81
No	2.41% 2
Total	83

#	Comments	Date
1	No comment	8/12/2015 4:29 PM
2	depends on the topic and schedule	8/12/2015 4:14 PM
3	Dependant on content	8/12/2015 3:37 PM
4	If applicable in N.S.	8/12/2015 2:38 PM
5	if applicable	8/12/2015 2:37 PM
6	Actually maybe...topic dependant	8/12/2015 2:28 PM
7	However, I will if there is a topic of applicable interest to a rural real estate lawyer.	8/12/2015 2:26 PM
8	it would depend on the topic, as I am not in Ontario.	8/12/2015 2:16 PM
9	Maybe - depends on the topic	8/12/2015 2:14 PM
10	I do not know what it is yet?	8/12/2015 2:11 PM

Q6 If there were other people who attended the webinar with you at the same computer, please indicate how many:

Answered: 17 Skipped: 73



Answer Choices	Average Number	Total Number	Responses
#	1	18	17
Total Respondents: 17			

#	#	Date
1	0	8/13/2015 7:24 PM
2	1	8/13/2015 12:18 PM
3	2	8/12/2015 7:33 PM
4	0	8/12/2015 4:29 PM
5	1	8/12/2015 4:14 PM
6	0	8/12/2015 3:20 PM
7	5	8/12/2015 3:19 PM
8	1	8/12/2015 2:37 PM
9	0	8/12/2015 2:28 PM
10	1	8/12/2015 2:22 PM
11	1	8/12/2015 2:21 PM
12	0	8/12/2015 2:16 PM
13	1	8/12/2015 2:11 PM
14	1	8/12/2015 2:07 PM
15	2	8/12/2015 2:05 PM
16	1	8/12/2015 2:04 PM
17	1	8/12/2015 2:02 PM

Q7 Do you have any recommendations for future webinar topics?

Answered: 17 Skipped: 73

#	Responses	Date
1	Using UAV's over a city	8/14/2015 3:05 PM
2	more business meetings and how to be involved	8/13/2015 7:19 AM
3	Easements Stan Cottreal OLS retired from Ontario Government was very good	8/12/2015 7:33 PM
4	Title Insurance Claims - The difference in coverage between the various insurers. Who provides the best coverage? Examples of successful claims.	8/12/2015 5:09 PM
5	Not as of the moment	8/12/2015 4:29 PM
6	Not at this time.	8/12/2015 4:04 PM
7	Resolving boundary disputes	8/12/2015 3:26 PM
8	health and safety seminar to ensure compliance of companies and staff with respect to new regulations for WSIB put on by Tania at eworkplace	8/12/2015 3:24 PM
9	I am interested in the land registration performance in Canada.	8/12/2015 3:20 PM
10	Keep up the good work	8/12/2015 2:38 PM
11	less intro.	8/12/2015 2:37 PM
12	How to provide cost efficient and timely SRPRs.	8/12/2015 2:26 PM
13	Presentations from members regarding regional surveying issues or interesting projects.	8/12/2015 2:14 PM
14	Not at this time - but with some time, I am sure I could come up with some.	8/12/2015 2:11 PM
15	no	8/12/2015 2:10 PM
16	An overview of the Geographic Information Manager Branch	8/12/2015 2:08 PM
17	No	8/12/2015 2:05 PM


Q8 Any other comments or suggestions

Answered: 19 Skipped: 71

#	Responses	Date
1	Nice to here some of the law society does value our profession.	8/14/2015 3:05 PM
2	Not as of the moment	8/12/2015 4:29 PM
3	Keep up the good work!	8/12/2015 4:08 PM
4	I liked the one-hour format. Not too hard to fit it into the work day.	8/12/2015 4:04 PM
5	Bob Aaron is a gem	8/12/2015 3:24 PM
6	According to my neighbour who approved the operating license for First American Title while he was working for the Office of the Superintendent of Financial Institutions, title insurance was never suppose to replace the normal due diligence that the general public should expect from the real estate profession. It was intended to provide the public with "an additional product they could purchase on top of their normal home insurance". The product is intended to assist with the costs of correcting title problems and was not intended to replace the full services of lawyers, surveyors and real estate agents. Unfortunately, their Canadian operating license does not stipulate this point, so as long as they meet their financial obligations they can continue operating under that license.	8/12/2015 3:19 PM
7	The sound quality was terrible. I could only make out every second sentence. Luckily there was a detailed handout so I could follow most of the presentation but I got very little verbally. The sound problem was likely on my end (voip). When I could hear him it was clear but it was so frequent (sound drops) it was hard to distinguish the content of any particular sentence. I was looking for a phone number so I could have heard the presentation over a land line but was not able to find one.	8/12/2015 2:48 PM
8	a more brief technical intro might be something to think about.	8/12/2015 2:43 PM
9	I would add an old survey is only 'better than nothing' - if viewed as representing the boundaries at the date of the plan	8/12/2015 2:38 PM
10	I was attending while "mobile" for the first 10 minutes therefore missed how to get recording of presentation and am now unable to find it online...a review of how to get the available accompanying documentation and recordings at the end of the webinar would have taken care of this.	8/12/2015 2:28 PM
11	Nice to have an organisation that has implemented CPD actually provide an efficient, informative, and painless way to assist its membership in obtaining CPD credits. Bravo!	8/12/2015 2:26 PM
12	The audio and video were not synchronized. I had a difficult time getting into the webinar. Ended up not getting in until around 135. I was pleased it went beyond the scheduled time period. Google Chrome was the original platform. I got in on Internet Explorer. There was no indication that one was better than the other.	8/12/2015 2:26 PM
13	Great job.	8/12/2015 2:22 PM
14	Include a follow-up email after webinars that links directly to AOLS CPD input page - this will prompt people to log their information right away rather than waiting.	8/12/2015 2:14 PM
15	Again	8/12/2015 2:11 PM
16	none	8/12/2015 2:10 PM
17	Picture quality is somewhat poor	8/12/2015 2:10 PM
18	excellent presentation, really enjoyed the reference material provided.	8/12/2015 2:07 PM
19	No	8/12/2015 2:05 PM

Q9 Today's webinar went overtime due to the volume of questions. In the future, would you prefer the webinars to end on time or to go overtime if there are a lot of questions?

Answered: 87 Skipped: 3



Answer Choices	Responses
On time	19.54% 17
Over time	80.46% 70
Total	87

#	Comments:	Date
1	Generally they should end on time as other work and meetings are scheduled. However, if the topic is important and it is a critical moment, the exception of extending overtime should be allowed.	8/13/2015 7:24 PM
2	It is a great opportunity to get answers to the questions while fresh in the mind of the attendees and your speaker was kind enough to respond	8/13/2015 12:10 PM
3	A person always has the option of leaving the session early before all questions are dealt with, if necessary.	8/13/2015 10:02 AM
4	It depends on the topic and time of the presentation and if the Q&A period is adding value. If the latter, I don't mind staying tuned in past the one-hour timing.	8/12/2015 5:09 PM
5	Maybe filter the questions to avoid repetitions and limit the number of questions to maybe 10 or less	8/12/2015 4:29 PM
6	Job well done	8/12/2015 4:20 PM
7	questions are always good	8/12/2015 4:14 PM
8	If the questions are interesting and relevant I think the time should be extended within reason. Thanks for your efforts.	8/12/2015 4:04 PM
9	Time is important, I was able to stay today but that would not always be the case. Please set aside 15 minutes for questions and include it within the time lines.	8/12/2015 3:37 PM
10	15 min is acceptable	8/12/2015 3:31 PM
11	There is nothing preventing anyone from leaving. Bob's presentation ended on time, so overtime was not anyone's fault and it gave us the chance to get more info as we chose.	8/12/2015 3:24 PM
12	If viewers have other commitments, they are not obliged to remain for the questions. The overtime is a sign that viewers were interested in the content and the speaker.	8/12/2015 3:19 PM

AOLS Webinar on August 12, 2015 - Feedback

13	Often we have mutiple attendees in a common boardroom where we are often not allowed to go over the time booked. In todays case, I participated at home so I was very interested and able to stay on the line until 1:30 pm.	8/12/2015 2:56 PM
14	Questions are always good, in moderation	8/12/2015 2:52 PM
15	The questions were really good. So I stayed as long as I could but did have other things that need tending.	8/12/2015 2:52 PM
16	Some questions require interesting answers that go beyond the original seminar scope.	8/12/2015 2:38 PM
17	Questions are great	8/12/2015 2:28 PM
18	I think the quality of the presentation will reflect in the number of questions. You can see the numbers who want to take the time to go into overtime.	8/12/2015 2:21 PM
19	If the topic engages the participation of the membership, I think it is beneficial to take extra time.	8/12/2015 2:21 PM
20	If there is interest I have no problem with the speaker staying longer, if they feel so inclined (I can always turn it off if I have to do something else)	8/12/2015 2:15 PM
21	Time permitting, depending on circumstances.	8/12/2015 2:14 PM
22	Unfortunately I missed some of the Q&A due to this overtime. I look forward to review on GeoEd	8/12/2015 2:11 PM
23	I am not opposed to going overtime (within reason) if there is good discussion and questions. With Webinar I have pre-booked an additional 30mins in my calendar anyways just to be safe. I hate to leave if there is good discussion, hence why I suggest ending on time.	8/12/2015 2:07 PM
24	Duplicate questions should be screened and discarded.	8/12/2015 2:05 PM