


Q1 Which Regional Group do you belong to?


Answered: 130 Skipped: 0



Answer Choices	Responses
Eastern	14.62% 19
Georgian Bay	10.00% 13
Hamilton & District	16.15% 21
Kawartha-Haliburton	3.85% 5
North Eastern	10.77% 14
North Western	0.00% 0
South Central	33.85% 44
South Western	10.77% 14
Total	130

Q2 Are you a CofA holder?


Answered: 130 Skipped: 0



Answer Choices	Responses	
Yes	48.46%	63
No	51.54%	67
Total		130

Q3 What is your age group?


Answered: 129 Skipped: 1



Answer Choices	Responses
under 30	2.33% 3
30-39	5.43% 7
40-49	18.60% 24
50-59	44.96% 58
60-69	24.81% 32
70-79	2.33% 3
80 and over	1.55% 2
Total	129

Q4 Were you aware of what PSC has been doing on your behalf?


Answered: 128 Skipped: 2



Answer Choices	Responses
Yes	62.50% 80
No	37.50% 48
Total	128

Q5 Would you like the PSC newsletter and quarterly report sent to you?


Answered: 126 Skipped: 4



Answer Choices	Responses	
Yes	67.46%	85
No	32.54%	41
Total		126

Q6 If more information was provided on the benefits of PSC, would you consider becoming an individual member paying \$250 next year with your AOLS annual license renewal fees?

Answered: 126 Skipped: 4



Answer Choices	Responses	
Yes	53.97%	68
No	46.03%	58
Total		126


#	Comment	Date
1	I am already a member and I have renewed for 2017	1/13/2017 12:50 PM
2	AOLS membership dues are too expensive already. Legislative changes need to be undertaken that would allow for the reallocation of Survey Review Department expenditures to more pressing AOLS activities.	1/13/2017 10:23 AM
3	I have been a member since the inception.	1/12/2017 8:47 PM
4	I prefer the next option in Q.8	1/12/2017 3:59 PM
5	Why not an "all in" membership of \$200 per member as per the email? Already paying \$ 250 individually.	1/12/2017 2:38 PM
6	Perhaps. The membership fee is not covered by my employer.	1/12/2017 2:38 PM
7	I already am.	1/12/2017 1:57 PM
8	SEE #7	1/12/2017 1:15 PM
9	I prefer the all-in approach, which if initiated should bring the cost to less than the current \$200 all-in fee.	1/12/2017 1:14 PM
10	Existing fees are already high and existing funds are already going into to many seemingly random functions. More effort to consolidate many of the existing groups would be a better direction, then consider funding. I have no interest in throwing bits of money in many directions. One focused group will get my money.	1/12/2017 12:42 PM
11	I have a C of R in GIM. From what I can see so far the activity PSC presumably does has no impact on the GIM branch. The same stands true for AOLS. As a C of R in GIM I feel I'm just supporting AOLS and get nothing in return. For the moment I accept this situation, but every year I ask myself if I should continue doing so. This is why I wouldn't like to see any further increase of my annual renewal fee.	1/12/2017 12:35 PM
12	Already a member through ACLS	1/12/2017 12:24 PM

PSC Survey Monkey

13	I have checked "yes" above to show my support for PSC. I am already have a membership with PSC through the ACLS and already receive their newsletters.	1/12/2017 11:15 AM
14	I am already a member.	1/11/2017 12:43 PM
15	I would like to see PSC publish a work plan each year and provide progress reports every quarter. So far, I haven't seen any effective advertising which was their main goal.	1/11/2017 9:30 AM
16	I am already a member of CIG. Not sure why PSC also exists.	1/10/2017 4:22 PM
17	Yes, as long as PSC is clear about where the money is going.	1/10/2017 11:59 AM
18	Already pay through ACLS and have been a member since PSC's incorporation, anyway.	1/10/2017 10:03 AM
19	At least until end of 2017 I am a member of PSC by virtue of being a member of ACLS.	1/10/2017 9:08 AM
20	I feel my membership fees are already too high. AOLS should pay something out of current fees	1/10/2017 8:41 AM
21	Paid PSC fees yesterday.	1/10/2017 8:22 AM
22	Maybe - I have not seen any tangible results from PSC activities - therefore I am not convinced that my contribution will be put to good use	1/10/2017 8:15 AM
23	If the benefits that are communicated are tangible benefits then I would support becoming a member.	1/10/2017 7:58 AM
24	With the amount of information available today I am observing a great deal of overlap and redundancy. Although this can be a good thing with respect to survey measurements, it can be overwhelming when one pays several times for a single deliverable.	1/10/2017 7:00 AM
25	face time	1/9/2017 10:34 PM
26	Our fees are already too high and I am not in favour of any further fee increases.	1/9/2017 8:04 PM
27	I am already a member	1/9/2017 7:42 PM
28	PSC membership should be voluntary, not mandatory. It is an additional burden for business owners to bear on top of AOLS increases for themselves and OLS staff.	1/9/2017 7:24 PM
29	Already pay through ACLS	1/9/2017 5:26 PM
30	I am already a member through ACLS.	1/9/2017 5:11 PM
31	2017 is my first year paying dues for PSC	1/9/2017 5:03 PM
32	I am in public sector. Our current fees are quite high, and my employer will not pay an additional fee.	1/9/2017 4:41 PM
33	already a member	1/9/2017 4:40 PM
34	The AOLS adequately represents me. Advocacy groups should be only supported by those interested in having an additional advocate.	1/9/2017 4:26 PM
35	Already support the PSC as a member.	1/9/2017 4:20 PM
36	It has always appeared to me that PSC is doing many things that the AOLS should be doing. For instance we have an underground utility committee that has been looking into this matter. Why should we pay the PSC to do this? In Ontario the number of OLS's is declining however the staff levels at the AOLS remain constant. The AOLS staff should have more time to assist with these initiatives within our current membership fees. I do not see the business case for PSC, it has been around for several years which was supported by the AOLS at the beginning. They accomplished very little in that time. I do not see that anything has changed, the list of accomplishments seems pretty small for the money spent on this organization over the years.	1/9/2017 4:20 PM
37	I am a PSC member but will need to see more benefits than I have seen to remain with them.	1/9/2017 4:20 PM
38	The annual AOLS license renewal fees are already very high. I cannot afford to pay another \$250!	1/9/2017 4:18 PM
39	I am member of the ALSA and am already a PSC member.	1/9/2017 4:15 PM
40	I already am a member of PSC.	1/9/2017 4:13 PM
41	I support membership now.	1/9/2017 4:11 PM

Q7 Would you support an “all-in” initiative giving the AOLS authority to collect PSC membership fees of \$200/member?

Answered: 129 Skipped: 1



Answer Choices	Responses	
Yes	56.59%	73
No	43.41%	56
Total		129

Q8 Are there activities on which you would like to see greater cooperation between AOLS and PSC? Please provide your ideas.

Answered: 37 Skipped: 93

#	Responses	Date
1	not aware how much joint cooperation is being done now. How large is PSC compared to AOLS? A partnership makes sense if PSC can take over or provide leadership on (for example) continuing education opportunities.	1/13/2017 2:24 PM
2	I have not been following recent activity by PSC thus I am not in a position to comment on this.	1/12/2017 3:59 PM
3	Working with PAC Committee of AOLS	1/12/2017 1:47 PM
4	Join with RICS	1/12/2017 1:15 PM
5	We spend a lot of our money on Public Awareness and perhaps a coordinated effort would bring better returns on our investment.	1/12/2017 1:14 PM
6	More effort to consolidate many of the existing groups would be a better direction, then consider funding. I have no interest in throwing bits of money in many directions. One focused group will get my money.	1/12/2017 12:42 PM
7	The I would like to see would be to give a real status to C of R holders in the "Cinderella" branches of AOLS such as GIM, Photogrammetric Surveying, and Hydrographic Surveying	1/12/2017 12:35 PM
8	National lobbying and promotion	1/12/2017 12:24 PM
9	definitely marketing and branding, which also ties into Public Awareness	1/12/2017 12:21 PM
10	Joint ventures to promote the profession and attract new members to all provincial and federal Surveyor's Associations.	1/12/2017 11:15 AM
11	The PSC knows which activities they need help with. They ask, we help.	1/12/2017 10:03 AM
12	Public awareness	1/11/2017 12:43 PM
13	Anything that saves money and reduces AOLS fees.	1/10/2017 4:22 PM
14	Create consistency and transparency on acceptance of University options for surveyors across Canada. I was frustrated with AOLS when I was educated outside Ontario and I have found the same ongoing issues still happening today.	1/10/2017 10:10 AM
15	Don't know if it already exists, but would simply like PSC director(s) and AOLS Council to have direct communication similar to AOLS and CCLS in the past. Just to make sure that each knows what the other is up to and to better differentiate what each organization should be doing on behalf of surveyors.	1/10/2017 10:03 AM
16	none	1/10/2017 9:14 AM
17	PSC and all the professional associations need to come to an agreement on a division of labour who is doing what? how will efficiencies be achieved. The current ad hoc approach is not working. Anne Cole	1/10/2017 9:08 AM
18	Yes - the provincial government should be approached with request for limited funding	1/10/2017 8:15 AM
19	Cont education	1/10/2017 8:09 AM
20	I would support consolidation of administration in any way possible to improve efficiency and lower fees associated with both entities.	1/10/2017 7:58 AM
21	Seems like AOLS is doing their own marketing. Why is this not a combined effort? Insurance. What about one policy or company for all surveyors across Canada? Schools to train Surveyors/assistants. National program with National minimum standards? CEBEP. National accreditation?	1/10/2017 7:05 AM
22	PSC should ask what AOLS would like...offer options	1/9/2017 10:34 PM
23	No. The AOLS would only view such initiatives as justification for collecting fees in such an all in manner. Stop raising membership fees!! Raise the rates of CoAs to support the PSC. Tax the companies that are to pass these expenses onto the public.	1/9/2017 8:04 PM
24	professional development initiatives	1/9/2017 7:42 PM

PSC Survey Monkey

25	copyright	1/9/2017 6:09 PM
26	AOLS role is governance PSC role is promotion of surveying to the world	1/9/2017 5:26 PM
27	work shops seminars	1/9/2017 5:21 PM
28	I support the concept of PSC, however in the public sector the additional fee won't be covered. The funding model needs to be reviewed to determine the best avenue for sustainability. Im not sure more fees on members is the best route.	1/9/2017 4:41 PM
29	PAC should share plans with PSC if they don't already	1/9/2017 4:40 PM
30	no	1/9/2017 4:30 PM
31	None	1/9/2017 4:26 PM
32	The AOLS should be able to provide administrative resources to PSC as we have an abundance of staff given our numbers. This can be done within our current membership fee structure.	1/9/2017 4:20 PM
33	Focus on better Marketing ideas. Not impressed with the "Know where you stand".	1/9/2017 4:20 PM
34	None that come to mind	1/9/2017 4:19 PM
35	My impression lately is that PSC is doing a good job of advocating on issues mostly of concern to the 'big' firms, but the smaller CoA firms issues like health, safety regulations, insurance, government compliance issues, etc. are being ignored.	1/9/2017 4:15 PM
36	I feel that all surveying associations in Canada need to pool their resources and have a single advertising/public awareness campaign that explains/promotes professional surveying.	1/9/2017 4:13 PM
37	None.	1/9/2017 4:07 PM