
Q1 Do you feel the webinar engaged you?

Answered: 70 Skipped: 0




Answer Choices	Responses
Yes	91.43% 64
No	8.57% 6
Total	70

#	Comments	Date
1	GIM, not cadastral I struggled to stay engaged.	5/19/2016 9:43 AM
2	particularly the review of secondary research sources	5/19/2016 7:34 AM
3	As per a previous complaint, I am not seeing all the slides (I saw slide 10 and 15 only in Ken's) and none of the screen work for Jack's presentation.	5/19/2016 7:34 AM
4	The information was worth while but I feel that due to the volume of material it probably should have been two separate webinars. It felt like some of the topics were a little glanced over due to time constraints.	5/18/2016 4:06 PM
5	If a topic engages you, times moves along rather quickly, and this webinar felt like it was 15 min. in length.	5/18/2016 3:24 PM
6	Engaged, yes - but some of the material was rather basic. With that said it is always hard to know the knowledge of your audiance	5/18/2016 2:26 PM
7	somewhat	5/18/2016 2:25 PM
8	Yes and No	5/18/2016 2:14 PM

Q2 Please rate the CONTENT of the presentations:

Answered: 70 Skipped: 0




	Excellent	Very good	Good	Fair	Poor	Total	Weighted Average
Ken Wilkinson	22.06%	41.18%	29.41%	7.35%	0.00%		
	15	28	20	5	0	68	2.22
Jack Keat	21.74%	52.17%	23.19%	2.90%	0.00%		
	15	36	16	2	0	69	2.07

#	Comments	Date
1	see #1 above	5/19/2016 7:34 AM
2	Both were good. My interests were a little more the Registry Office side of things.	5/18/2016 4:06 PM
3	Alternat research is always cool to know - those liks will be a great resource	5/18/2016 2:47 PM
4	The content was good, but I thought the webinar was going to be about researching survey projects - not just research at the RO.	5/18/2016 2:46 PM
5	No comment	5/18/2016 2:30 PM

Q3 Please rate the presentation quality of the PRESENTERS:

Answered: 70 Skipped: 0




	Excellent	Very good	Good	Fair	Poor	Total	Weighted Average
Ken Wilkinson	21.74%	37.68%	31.88%	8.70%	0.00%		
	15	26	22	6	0	69	2.28
Jack Keat	18.84%	44.93%	30.43%	5.80%	0.00%		
	13	31	21	4	0	69	2.23

#	Comments	Date
1	Mr. Keat used too much time. Mr. Wilkinson was a bit of a 'dry' speaker.	5/19/2016 9:43 AM
2	No comment	5/18/2016 2:30 PM

Q4 Did the webinar give you information you were not aware of?






Answer Choices	Responses
Yes	95.71% 67
No	4.29%
Total	70

#	Comments	Date
1	Some of Mr Keats sites will be interesting and useful	5/19/2016 11:37 AM
2	I would like to see a listing of some sort of the links Jack was providing	5/19/2016 7:34 AM
3	I was not aware of the website archives.org	5/18/2016 2:46 PM
4	I am embarrassed to say that I didn't know the Practice manual was so informative.	5/18/2016 2:33 PM
5	No comment	5/18/2016 2:30 PM

Q5 Was the pace of the webinar appropriate?

Answered: 70 Skipped: 0




Answer Choices	Responses
Yes	87.14% 61
No	12.86% 9
Total	70

#	Comments	Date
1	A bit slow	5/20/2016 12:35 PM
2	Too long.	5/19/2016 9:43 AM
3	a bit slow at first	5/19/2016 7:34 AM
4	The pace was pretty good. As noted above though it probably should have been two separate webinars.	5/18/2016 4:06 PM
5	Jack's section dragged a bit	5/18/2016 2:33 PM
6	Jack's part could have gone a bit quicker	5/18/2016 2:31 PM
7	No comment	5/18/2016 2:30 PM
8	Yes and No	5/18/2016 2:14 PM

Q6 Were questions answered clearly?

Answered: 64 Skipped: 6




Answer Choices	Responses	
Yes	93.75%	60
No	6.25%	4
Total		64

#	Comments	Date
1	For the most part they were, my question about the cost of deeds being cheaper on one system, not on the other was not answered other than "it is a disbursement" Not really an answer when all systems are accessing the same provincial database. Rosco should be the same price as terranet and as Geowarehousewhy is it not? Maybe that would have been better wording.	5/19/2016 11:37 AM
2	Sometimes	5/19/2016 8:27 AM
3	The issue of exorbitant costs to do a proper LRO search for purposes of a legal survey under Teranet's/Service Ontario's new fee schedule were glossed over (as in previous forums) with the well "it's only disbursements" answer is not (in my view) an answer.	5/19/2016 7:34 AM
4	The ones that were asked clearly.	5/18/2016 4:25 PM
5	By their knowledge yes	5/18/2016 3:40 PM
6	Couldn't stay	5/18/2016 2:33 PM
7	No comment	5/18/2016 2:30 PM
8	But only if the question was clearly asked	5/18/2016 2:26 PM
9	some of them were clearly answered some of the answers were very confusing	5/18/2016 2:18 PM

Q7 Did you like having the questions up on the screen?






Answer Choices	Responses
Yes	98.53% 67
No	1.47% 1
Total	68

#	Comments	Date
1	But I did not see them, See comments for #1. Note: I work for a fairly large corporation and so I don't think the technical issues are related to a lack of sufficient technology/software/bandwidth, etc.	5/19/2016 7:34 AM
2	Continue with this practice if practical.	5/18/2016 4:06 PM
3	Absolutely	5/18/2016 3:24 PM
4	Easier for everyone to follow	5/18/2016 2:33 PM
5	No comment	5/18/2016 2:30 PM
6	Larger font would make them easier to read	5/18/2016 2:30 PM
7	But would like to see larger font and page break between questions.	5/18/2016 2:26 PM

Q8 Do you plan to embrace some concepts from this presentation into your workplace?

Answered: 69 Skipped: 1




Answer Choices	Responses
Yes	89.86% 62
No	10.14%
Total	69

#	Comments	Date
1	If applicable	5/19/2016 11:37 AM
2	Where at all applicable.	5/19/2016 9:43 AM
3	Definitely - The conversion of Teraview plans TIFF. We have been trying to figure this out for some time.	5/18/2016 6:57 PM
4	No interest yet in my work place!	5/18/2016 3:40 PM
5	Our means of research are always evolving	5/18/2016 3:24 PM
6	Again - the webinar was only about RO research and there was not anything new. We watched it on the projector and had our 4 researchers attend, and it was disappointing based on the title. It should have been titled "Registry Office research for survey projects" - and the RO research is pretty basic compared to the other research we need to do.	5/18/2016 2:46 PM
7	No comment	5/18/2016 2:30 PM
8	if applicable	5/18/2016 2:25 PM

Q9 If there were other people who attended the webinar with you at the same computer, please indicate how many:

Answered: 13 Skipped: 57



Answer Choices	Average Number	Total Number	Responses
#	4	47	13
Total Respondents: 13			

#	#	Date
1	3	5/19/2016 10:39 AM
2	11	5/19/2016 8:27 AM
3	2	5/19/2016 8:17 AM
4	0	5/18/2016 3:40 PM
5	1	5/18/2016 2:55 PM
6	2	5/18/2016 2:48 PM
7	0	5/18/2016 2:48 PM
8	8	5/18/2016 2:46 PM
9	1	5/18/2016 2:33 PM
10	5	5/18/2016 2:31 PM
11	0	5/18/2016 2:30 PM
12	3	5/18/2016 2:30 PM
13	11	5/18/2016 2:26 PM

AOLS Webinar on May 18, 2016 - Feedback

Q10 Do you have any recommendations for future webinar topics?

Answered: 11 Skipped: 59

#	Responses	Date
1	Research are Ontario Archive for relevant survey documents such as Courts of Quarter Sessions bylaws for roads.	5/20/2016 11:57 AM
2	New technologies in land surveying.	5/19/2016 9:43 AM
3	No	5/19/2016 8:25 AM
4	No.	5/19/2016 7:34 AM
5	The question about corner re-establishment would be a good topic for a future webinar.	5/18/2016 3:26 PM
6	GIS topics, e.g. Explaining how GIS technology benefits the survey profession.	5/18/2016 2:48 PM
7	Would be interesting in hearing presentation on the costing break down of what a 'typical' survey, with the aim of understanding all hidden costs, e.g. from insurance for the truck to setting aside funding for CPD.	5/18/2016 2:33 PM
8	None	5/18/2016 2:30 PM
9	no	5/18/2016 2:30 PM
10	- review of the condo process - review of the fail copyright lawsuit - ODCC update	5/18/2016 2:18 PM
11	Conflict resolution, dealing with people	5/18/2016 2:14 PM

AOLS Webinar on May 18, 2016 - Feedback

Q11 Any other comments or suggestions

Answered: 13 Skipped: 57

#	Responses	Date
1	sound quality was poor	5/19/2016 10:39 AM
2	This includes 3 OLSs, 2 co-op students, 6 technicians (some are AOLS associate members)	5/19/2016 8:27 AM
3	No	5/19/2016 8:25 AM
4	Good to have reminders of where to find information and how we need to utilize the AOLS website and links. Well done.	5/19/2016 7:56 AM
5	I would like to know if others have the same issues and if so that we are going to try to address them. (Steve Gossling)	5/19/2016 7:34 AM
6	Was that 1.5 professional CPD hours ???	5/18/2016 4:06 PM
7	Thanks Julia and Association for making the effort!	5/18/2016 3:40 PM
3	I like the webinar program	5/18/2016 2:33 PM
9	Have a phone line for voice	5/18/2016 2:31 PM
10	No comment	5/18/2016 2:30 PM
11	no	5/18/2016 2:30 PM
12	a number of the questions were directly off of the "statute exam questions list" and had nothing to do with the topic of the webinar	5/18/2016 2:18 PM
13	We can extend to 1h30	5/18/2016 2:18 PM