


### Q1 In which Regional Group are you located?

Answered: 56 Skipped: 1



Answer Choices	Responses	
Eastern	10.71%	6
Georgian Bay	14.29%	8
Hamilton & District	8.93%	5
Kawartha-Haliburton	0.00%	0
North Eastern	12.50%	7
North Western	1.79%	1
South Central	35.71%	20
South Western	16.07%	9
<b>Total</b>		<b>56</b>

**Q2 MPAC is only interested in paying for reference plans as they result in the creation of new parcels or significant alterations to parcel boundaries that would substantially change assessed values. If ODCC pays for all plans (which is their preference), this creates additional risk and therefore ODCC is trying to estimate the number of reference plans that would be of interest to MPAC. Approximately how many reference plans would you estimate that you complete in a year? (enter a number)**

Answered: 55 Skipped: 2

#	Responses	Date
1	25	11/21/2016 2:39 PM
2	50	11/21/2016 6:22 AM
3	80	11/20/2016 6:47 PM
4	30	11/18/2016 8:53 AM
5	50	11/17/2016 7:41 PM
6	250	11/17/2016 4:03 PM
7	19	11/17/2016 2:48 PM
8	110	11/17/2016 2:25 PM
9	85	11/17/2016 1:31 PM
10	20	11/17/2016 1:13 PM
11	50	11/17/2016 11:19 AM
12	3	11/17/2016 10:35 AM
13	30	11/17/2016 10:13 AM
14	50	11/17/2016 10:04 AM
15	10	11/15/2016 9:59 PM
16	285	11/15/2016 11:35 AM
17	30	11/14/2016 12:50 PM
18	200	11/11/2016 1:13 PM
19	50	11/11/2016 10:42 AM
20	100	11/11/2016 9:25 AM
21	2	11/10/2016 8:12 PM
22	50	11/10/2016 3:37 PM
23	20	11/10/2016 12:32 PM
24	100	11/10/2016 12:28 PM
25	24	11/10/2016 12:12 PM

## ODCC Survey Monkey for CofA Holders

26	40	11/10/2016 10:31 AM
27	100	11/10/2016 9:52 AM
28	30	11/10/2016 9:48 AM
29	20	11/10/2016 9:28 AM
30	100	11/10/2016 9:21 AM
31	75	11/10/2016 9:05 AM
32	80	11/10/2016 8:46 AM
33	200	11/10/2016 8:45 AM
34	50	11/10/2016 8:34 AM
35	200	11/10/2016 8:02 AM
36	6	11/10/2016 7:56 AM
37	500	11/10/2016 6:35 AM
38	1	11/10/2016 5:46 AM
39	10	11/10/2016 4:31 AM
40	100	11/9/2016 8:41 PM
41	100	11/9/2016 5:25 PM
42	150	11/9/2016 4:36 PM
43	25	11/9/2016 4:31 PM
44	3	11/9/2016 4:29 PM
45	50	11/9/2016 4:22 PM
46	80	11/9/2016 4:13 PM
47	100	11/9/2016 4:09 PM
48	150	11/9/2016 4:01 PM
49	30	11/9/2016 3:59 PM
50	40	11/9/2016 3:59 PM
51	30	11/9/2016 3:57 PM
52	100	11/9/2016 3:55 PM
53	30	11/9/2016 3:55 PM
54	250	11/9/2016 3:54 PM
55	300	11/9/2016 3:43 PM

**Q3 What percentage of reference plans that you create would you estimate result in the creation of new PINs? (enter a number between 0 and 100)**

Answered: 55 Skipped: 2


#	Responses	Date
1	25	11/21/2016 2:39 PM
2	75	11/21/2016 6:22 AM
3	100	11/20/2016 6:47 PM
4	70	11/18/2016 8:53 AM
5	75	11/17/2016 7:41 PM
6	80	11/17/2016 4:03 PM
7	95	11/17/2016 2:48 PM
8	80	11/17/2016 2:25 PM
9	70	11/17/2016 1:31 PM
10	50	11/17/2016 1:13 PM
11	30	11/17/2016 11:19 AM
12	3	11/17/2016 10:35 AM
13	20	11/17/2016 10:13 AM
14	40	11/17/2016 10:04 AM
15	70	11/15/2016 9:59 PM
16	90	11/15/2016 11:35 AM
17	80	11/14/2016 12:50 PM
18	75	11/11/2016 1:13 PM
19	80	11/11/2016 10:42 AM
20	75	11/11/2016 9:25 AM
21	0	11/10/2016 8:12 PM
22	35	11/10/2016 3:37 PM
23	30	11/10/2016 12:32 PM
24	30	11/10/2016 12:28 PM
25	95	11/10/2016 12:12 PM
26	35	11/10/2016 10:31 AM
27	99	11/10/2016 9:52 AM
28	25	11/10/2016 9:48 AM
29	20	11/10/2016 9:28 AM
30	60	11/10/2016 9:21 AM
31	90	11/10/2016 9:05 AM
32	50	11/10/2016 8:46 AM

## ODCC Survey Monkey for CofA Holders

33	75	11/10/2016 8:45 AM
34	50	11/10/2016 8:34 AM
35	70	11/10/2016 8:02 AM
36	6	11/10/2016 7:56 AM
37	80	11/10/2016 6:35 AM
38	1	11/10/2016 5:46 AM
39	9	11/10/2016 4:31 AM
40	40	11/9/2016 8:41 PM
41	85	11/9/2016 5:25 PM
42	100	11/9/2016 4:36 PM
43	50	11/9/2016 4:31 PM
44	3	11/9/2016 4:29 PM
45	80	11/9/2016 4:22 PM
46	50	11/9/2016 4:13 PM
47	30	11/9/2016 4:09 PM
48	80	11/9/2016 4:01 PM
49	90	11/9/2016 3:59 PM
50	20	11/9/2016 3:59 PM
51	80	11/9/2016 3:57 PM
52	75	11/9/2016 3:55 PM
53	30	11/9/2016 3:55 PM
54	80	11/9/2016 3:54 PM
55	67	11/9/2016 3:43 PM

**Q4 ODCC is considering the amount of standardization required in CAD files submitted. Increased standardization obviously impacts the amount of effort required by surveyors to participate with ODCC, however it reduces costs for ODCC and therefore results in further profits that can be redistributed to surveyors. What level of standardization in CAD files submitted would you support?**

Answered: 56 Skipped: 1




Answer Choices	Responses
None	8.93% 5
Main boundaries on a separate layer	41.07% 23
Up to 5 standard layers	25.00% 14
Up to 10 standard layers	10.71% 6
Over 10 standard layers	14.29% 8
<b>Total</b>	<b>56</b>

**Q5 ODCC has been considering various business models for revenue distribution.**

**They are trying to keep it easy to administer, fair to surveyors (which have varying levels of activity), and reasonably aligned with business to minimize risk. In addition to a revenue sharing model, ODCC is hoping to pay an upfront fee associated with providing CAD files. They are considering/hoping to be able to pay \$100 for a CAD file associated with a reference plan and \$500 for a CAD file associated with a subdivision plan. Do you believe these fees are too low, about right, or too high?**

Answered: 56 Skipped: 1



Answer Choices	Responses
Too low	33.93% 19
About right	66.07% 37
Too high	0.00% 0
<b>Total</b>	<b>56</b>


**Q6 The ODCC Board believes that new clients and new products and services can be found with an accurate digital cadastre. In fact, they believe this represents the largest revenue potential for a digital cadastre. Do you have any suggestions or comments in this regard?**

Answered: 18 Skipped: 39

#	Responses	Date
1	Possible. If the digital files are made available through a common portal.	11/17/2016 2:48 PM
2	No	11/17/2016 1:13 PM
3	Not at this time	11/17/2016 11:19 AM
4	Who will benefit from the "new" clients? Will the ODCC Board share the revenue potential with the surveyor?	11/17/2016 10:35 AM
5	I agree, and if we develop the methodology and structure, we can export that to other jurisdictions for additional revenue.	11/17/2016 10:13 AM
6	Makes sense	11/14/2016 12:50 PM
7	I agree, given time, the profession will be able to create new products and services thereby enhancing the role of the OLS.	11/11/2016 1:13 PM
8	need more description of what is intended ? what is the vision of the board ?	11/11/2016 9:25 AM
9	will you accept older plans with observed reference points.	11/10/2016 12:12 PM
10	I don't agree with this opinion	11/10/2016 9:52 AM
11	My general comment is: private plans (SRPR) must remain private in all aspects in order to protect the interests of our clients.	11/10/2016 9:28 AM
12	Participation should be mandatory for all firms so that it becomes an asset to the membership and a resource for surveyors and other stakeholders.	11/9/2016 8:41 PM
13	The reality is that new clients do not want to pay. Everybody wants free information. I see it every day in my practice. For example, Teranet will get the digital files free through digital submission of reference plans. In order to achieve this, they are reducing services at local Land Registry Offices, extending wait times for pre-approvals, and increasing fees in order to influence a future vote in their favour towards digital plan submission. Digital plan submissions will cost us money by imposing standards and forcing adherence to the Teranet system. We will derive no benefit. We have already invested a lot of money to produce integrated surveys. The accurate digital cadastre is very much based on the investments we made to which Teranet will derive the benefit without fair compensation. This is well demonstrated by their actions with the Copyright court action. It is too bad ODCC was not founded before Teranet.	11/9/2016 5:25 PM
14	completely agree	11/9/2016 4:36 PM
15	Couldn't agree more!	11/9/2016 4:31 PM
16	Some municipalities have collect digital copies of reference plans created for severances, with the intention of creating a database. I hear that Stormont Dundas and Glengarry United counties have a back log and nothing has been done. Perhaps you could offer our cadastre as an alternative.	11/9/2016 3:59 PM
17	Lobby the government like they did in Quebec. Going down the current path provides an incomplete data set and is mostly useless if there is gaps in the data.	11/9/2016 3:59 PM
18	Choose and stick with one common coordinate system: UTM, MTM but pick one	11/9/2016 3:54 PM

### Q7 Do you believe you will support the ODCC in the creation and maintenance of a digital cadastre?

Answered: 53 Skipped: 4



Answer Choices	Responses	
Yes	86.79%	46
No	13.21%	7
<b>Total</b>		<b>53</b>

## ODCC Survey Monkey for CofA Holders

### Q8 Do you have any other comments for consideration by the ODCC Board?

Answered: 18 Skipped: 39

#	Responses	Date
1	1.) I'm encouraged that ref. plans are being considered. I think they are needed to continue with this program. 2.) Standardization of layers, colours and linetypes is a good thing and can be supported in most CAD packages.	11/17/2016 2:48 PM
2	remember 1 reference plan cited in #2 above can create multiple PINs	11/17/2016 2:25 PM
3	No	11/17/2016 1:13 PM
4	Not at this time	11/17/2016 11:19 AM
5	Question 7 is not a yes or no answer without further information about the Surveyor's involvement with the program.	11/17/2016 10:35 AM
6	Good work so far.	11/17/2016 10:13 AM
7	No	11/11/2016 1:13 PM
8	need a precis of the vision of the ODCC Board to reflect on, and comment on.	11/11/2016 9:25 AM
9	lets get started	11/10/2016 12:12 PM
10	we complete a significant number of reference plans that cover large tracts of land that are quite complex. my comment about the proposed fee of \$100.00 per plan being low is meant to address this issue. could there not be some designation that could be assigned to these unusual plans so that higher fees would be payable. Perhaps the criteria that could be employed would be related to the number of parts on the plan or the overall area of the total sum of the parts. When these unusual plans are completed they often have layering standards that adhere to Natural Resources Canada and/or Surveyor General's Office layering standards and these standards will supercede ODCC standards. The result will be more effort to submit the plan to ODCC. Also, will plans be submitted in grid or ground format? This again could result in the need for additional work prior to forwarding to the ODCC. The \$100.00 fee should only apply if virtually no manipulation of a plan is required. Also, presumably an escalation in price will be factored in over time.	11/10/2016 10:31 AM
11	Personally, I do not consider MPAC as a "friend" so to speak. If submitting our plans directly or indirectly to them results in increasing the property taxes of our clients, we have not done our client's any favours and in fact almost amounts to selling out the very people that have retained our services.	11/10/2016 9:28 AM
12	When the ODCC presented its case to AOLS members, much was said about AOLS participants deriving benefits from the use of the digital cadastre. I have not seen this yet. Am I missing something here? In fact, I find the ODCC website almost impossible to use in order to submit files. This needs to be improved. You need more communication with your participants to explain the benefits. There are simply very little monetary benefits to the current system. It cost more to submit than the few dollars one must wait months to receive. To now embark on a new product for reference plans CAD files is brave with due regard that you do not have the participation of 100% of AOLS members. What will you do for the reference plans you are not able to get from surveyors who do not want to participate? The only way you will eventually get 100% participation is to show us the money or show us a benefit from the use of the cadastre. Perhaps free indexing of our files? Currently, there is not enough benefit to participate. Surveyors are getting older and it is not worth their while to get the current pittance for their product. When you set the rate for a reference plan, make it beneficial to members to encourage full participation.	11/9/2016 5:25 PM
13	hurry up	11/9/2016 4:36 PM
14	Question 5 should be based on number of parts or lots not on a per plan basis. A 300 lot subdivision requires infinite more work, liability, etc.. than a 5 lot subdivision and should be charged accordingly.	11/9/2016 4:09 PM
15	MPAC is saving a lot of money so they should be able to pay more than \$100.	11/9/2016 3:59 PM
16	Th current idea of only providing survey parcel fabric for registered plans is shortsighted. SRPRs and other products can result in parcel fabric that is not being caught by this model. The way forward should be similar to the Quebec model.	11/9/2016 3:59 PM
17	Good luck	11/9/2016 3:54 PM
18	it is difficult to determine if \$100 is adequate or too low. I would prefer \$200, especially considering how much MPAC would be saving.	11/9/2016 3:49 PM