

1. The Comprehensive Review procedures were acceptable.

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| <input type="radio"/> Strongly agree             | <input type="radio"/> Disagree          |
| <input type="radio"/> Agree                      | <input type="radio"/> Strongly disagree |
| <input type="radio"/> Neither agree nor disagree |   |

2. The timelines for responses were sufficient to work around our schedule.

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| <input type="radio"/> Strongly agree             | <input type="radio"/> Disagree          |
| <input type="radio"/> Agree                      | <input type="radio"/> Strongly disagree |
| <input type="radio"/> Neither agree nor disagree |   |

3. Communications from the SRD were clear and complete.

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| <input type="radio"/> Strongly agree             | <input type="radio"/> Disagree          |
| <input type="radio"/> Agree                      | <input type="radio"/> Strongly disagree |
| <input type="radio"/> Neither agree nor disagree |   |

4. SRD staff were helpful in responding to our questions and concerns.

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| <input type="radio"/> Strongly agree             | <input type="radio"/> Disagree          |
| <input type="radio"/> Agree                      | <input type="radio"/> Strongly disagree |
| <input type="radio"/> Neither agree nor disagree |   |

5. The recommendations were appropriate considering the overall Review.

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| <input type="radio"/> Strongly agree             | <input type="radio"/> Disagree          |
| <input type="radio"/> Agree                      | <input type="radio"/> Strongly disagree |
| <input type="radio"/> Neither agree nor disagree |   |

6. The SRD Reviewer was attentive to our opinions and comments.

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| <input type="radio"/> Strongly agree             | <input type="radio"/> Disagree          |
| <input type="radio"/> Agree                      | <input type="radio"/> Strongly disagree |
| <input type="radio"/> Neither agree nor disagree |   |

7. The Review process was educational in nature.

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| <input type="radio"/> Strongly agree             | <input type="radio"/> Disagree          |
| <input type="radio"/> Agree                      | <input type="radio"/> Strongly disagree |
| <input type="radio"/> Neither agree nor disagree |   |

8. The Review report communicated the issues using non-judgmental phraseology.

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| <input type="radio"/> Strongly agree             | <input type="radio"/> Disagree          |
| <input type="radio"/> Agree                      | <input type="radio"/> Strongly disagree |
| <input type="radio"/> Neither agree nor disagree |   |

9. We have voluntarily made changes to our firm's operating procedures because of the Review.

- Yes
- No

10. The Review was non-confrontational and non-adversarial.

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| <input type="radio"/> Strongly agree             | <input type="radio"/> Disagree          |
| <input type="radio"/> Agree                      | <input type="radio"/> Strongly disagree |
| <input type="radio"/> Neither agree nor disagree |   |

11. The Reviewer's manner was polite, professional and understanding.

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| <input type="radio"/> Strongly agree             | <input type="radio"/> Disagree          |
| <input type="radio"/> Agree                      | <input type="radio"/> Strongly disagree |
| <input type="radio"/> Neither agree nor disagree |   |

12. Please identify the SRD Reviewer:

- Drew Annable
- Doug Reitsma
- Chester Stanton

13. Please choose which Regional Group you are in:

- |   |                                     |
|---|-------------------------------------|
| <input type="radio"/> Eastern             | <input type="radio"/> North Eastern |
| <input type="radio"/> Georgian Bay        | <input type="radio"/> Northwestern  |
| <input type="radio"/> Hamilton & District | <input type="radio"/> South Central |
| <input type="radio"/> Kawartha-Haliburton | <input type="radio"/> South Western |

14. Please provide the SRD Committee with your comments and/or suggestions to improve or streamline the review process.

(Please refer to the question numbers where appropriate.)

15. Please provide the SRD Committee with any additional comments you feel would assist in the interview process.

16. If you would like a response from the SRD Committee, please identify yourself / your firm.

17. Your name / your firm (optional)